

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/694,136	10/23/2000	Herbert M. Straub	20003	9008
28133 75	590 01/10/2003			
RICHARD L. MARSH			EXAMINER	
4116 E. LATOI SPRINGFIELD			FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D	
		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	8
			DATE MAILED: 01/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AS8			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- <del></del>			
Advisory Action	09/694,136	STRAUB ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lawrence D Ferguson	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 23 December 2002 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	lvoid abandonment of this application in the standard whice the standard standard in the standard standard whice	ation. A proper repi h places the applica	y to a ition in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin. S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI e date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the ma	ig date of the final rejecting the FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The approprint of the fee.	on. See MPEP  opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or			
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant'     The state of Appeal was filed on  37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF).	's Brief must be filed within the p	eriod set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by						
(a)  they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without cance</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ns.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	etion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: S	or reconsideration has been consider Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NC	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v	nt(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b would be rejected is provided bel	o)  will be entered ow or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_	_				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on i			nner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for reasons of record. Applicant maintains the rejection made is based upon 'metallic embossing foil' and Applicant's do not claim a 'metallic embossing foil' in any of the independent claims 1,11 or 20 but refer to the term 'metallic' in claims 16 and 19. Because Applicant claims a metallic foil in the claims, it is proper tha the rejection read on a metallic foil as well, in order to meet Applicant's claim limitation. Applicants argument lacks merit because Suess i view of Abrams reads on a decorative molding printed material conventionally used to wrap articles. Applicant further argues the metallic coating is applied by hot transfer foil onto an embossed surface, which is directed to a product by process claim limitation .

CYNTHIA H. KELLY
SUPERVISORY FATER T EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Cylotho